Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 1 of 18 PageID #: 4

# **EXHIBIT A**





**Judicial Links** 

eFiling

Help

| Contact Us | Print

GrantedPublicAccess Logoff MSHAMLIN78

2211-CC00070 - JESSE BARBAN V TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS INC (E-CASE)

|--|

Click here to eFile on Case

**Click here to Respond to Selected Documents** 

☐ Filing Info Sheet eFiling

Pet Filed in Circuit Ct

Petition.

Judge Assigned

Motion Special Process Server

Filed By: RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR

Filed By: RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR On Behalf Of: JESSE LEWIS BARBAN

Filed By: RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR

Request for Appointment of Process Server.

Sort Date Entries: Descending

Ascending

**Display Options:** 

All Entries

02/09/2022	Judge Assigned  JUDGE W. CHRISTOPHER MCDONOUGH IS ASSIGNED TO THIS CASE AS THE DIVISION FIVE JUDGE PER THE ORDER OF JUDGE ASSIGNMENTS AND INDIVIDUALIZED DOCKET PLAN
02/02/2022	Corporation Served  Document ID - 22-SMCC-174; Served To - TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.; Server - ; Served Date - 26-JAN-22; Served Time - 14:23:00; Service Type - Special Process Server; Reason Description - Served; Service Text - SERVED TO BONNIE LOVE INTAKE SPECIALIST
	Notice of Service Return of Service. Filed By: RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR On Behalf Of: JESSE LEWIS BARBAN
01/21/2022	Order - Special Process Server Associated Entries: 01/21/2022 - Motion Special Process Server
	Summons Issued-Circuit  Document ID: 22-SMCC-174 for TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS INC. SLIMMONS SAVED AND

ATTACHED IN PDF FORM FOR ATTORNEY TO RETRIEVE FROM SECURE CASE.NET. EPH

Case.net Version 5.14.49

Return to Top of Page

Associated Entries: 01/21/2022 - Order - Special Process Server

Released 01/21/2022

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT ST. CHARLES COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

JESSE BARBAN,

Plaintiff,

V.

TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.,

Serve at:

Reg. Agt. C T Corporation System 120 S. Central Avenue Clayton, MO 63105

Defendant.

Case No

Division

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

## **PETITION**

COMES NOW Jesse Barban ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel, and for his Petition states as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought to the Court by an individual consumer for violations of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.
- 2. This is also an action for abuse of process, malicious prosecution and punitive damages.
  - 3. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. This Court has jurisdiction of the FDCPA claim under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), as

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 4 of 18 PageID #: 7

Defendant's collection activity was directed to Plaintiff at his residence in St. Charles County, Missouri. Plaintiff suffered the harms described herein in Missouri. Venue is proper in St. Charles County, Missouri for this reason.

## **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person currently residing in St. Charles County, Missouri. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of the FDCPA.
- 6. The alleged debt Plaintiff owes arises out of consumer, family, and household transactions. Specifically, the alleged debt arose from an educational loan with Defendant.
- 7. Plaintiff has a bona-fide dispute of the debts. While Plaintiff did have educational loans, the balance alleged is not accurate because Plaintiff owes nothing on the loans. Specifically, Plaintiff and Defendant agreed to a settlement which was paid as full; as such, Plaintiff does not believe that the debt is due in owing in any amount to the Defendant.
- 8. Defendant is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business located outside the state of Missouri.
- 9. The principal business purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts nationwide;

  Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due to another entity.
  - 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

## **FACTS**

11. At all times relevant, Defendant worked on collecting the subject debts after those debts were already allegedly in default. At no time did Defendant work on collecting the debts while the debts were current.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 5 of 18 PageID #: 8

12. Prior to November of 2021, Defendant filed suit against Plaintiff's aunt in Colorado for Plaintiff's delinquent student loan debts from Plaintiff's two student loans. Plaintiff's aunt was a co-signer on the loans. Plaintiff's aunt alerted Plaintiff to this fact, whereupon Plaintiff was able to secure many thousands of dollars on his aunt's behalf and paid the loans. Defendant was responsible for executing a settlement agreement with Plaintiff's aunt, and specifically released Plaintiff from all further liability with respect to the loans. Plaintiff knew about and helped to negotiate the settlement agreement, which referenced Plaintiff by name and released him. Defendant also knew that Plaintiff was involved and agreed to release him.

- 13. Notwithstanding this fact, Defendant simply ignored its own release and the information on the debt payment in its own file and proceeded to try to harass and intimidate Plaintiff into paying the debt a second time.
- 14. This decision proved to be extremely costly for Plaintiff. Plaintiff incurred expenses of tens of thousands of dollars to resolve the Colorado litigation, and Defendant's decision to try and re-collect the debts from Plaintiff deprived Plaintiff of the benefit of these expenditures.
- 15. In mid-September of 2021, Defendant had contacted Plaintiff and threatened to sue him over the debts at issue.
- 16. In response, Plaintiff hired attorney Philip Jones and the law firm of Williams, Turner & Holmes to intercede on his behalf. Attorney Jones sent Defendant the settlement agreements that resolved the debts and cautioned Defendant against suing Plaintiff as it was threatening.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 6 of 18 PageID #: 9

- 17. Nonetheless, out of pure spite and meanness, on November 12, 2021, Defendant filed suit against Plaintiff in St. Charles County Associate Court, Case No. 2111-AC06214.
- 18. In its Petition, Defendant claimed that Plaintiff owed an amount of \$23,141.05 for an educational loan that Plaintiff received in 2006.
- On November 12, 2021, Defendant filed another suit against Plaintiff in St.
   Charles County Associate Court, Case No. 2111-AC06216.
- 20. In its Petition, Defendant claimed that Plaintiff owed an amount of \$17,575.71 for an educational loan that Plaintiff received in 2005.
- 21. While the lawsuits were nominally filed with "National Collegiate Student Loan Trust 2006-3" as the named Plaintiff, it was Defendant in reality who directed, filed and prosecuted both suits. Specifically, Defendant supplied an affidavit in connection with both lawsuits declaring that it was the "subservicer" and that it had personal knowledge of the indebtedness and that it was otherwise authorized to prosecute the lawsuits.
- 22. Defendant's affidavits were materially false and were designed to mislead and confuse Plaintiff. Specifically, in each affidavit, Defendant claimed, "My statements herein are based on personal knowledge of the educational loan which I obtained...."
- 23. This was false. Defendant had no such personal knowledge and did not do any work to familiarize itself with the debts, or it never would have brought the lawsuits. If Defendant had personal knowledge, it would have known with certainty that the debts were paid.
- 24. Defendants affidavits were materially false in that they proclaimed each lawsuit "concerns an unpaid debt owed by JESSE L BARBAN." Plaintiff owed no such debt.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 7 of 18 PageID #: 10

- 25. The lawsuits that Defendant filed in 2021 were both fraudulent because Defendant knew that it had released Plaintiff from all liability for those debts because Plaintiff had paid and/or settled the same.
  - 26. After being served with the lawsuits, Plaintiff was frustrated and upset.
- 27. Plaintiff had to seek out, retain and became indebted to counsel to get legal advice on how to deal with the situation.
- 28. Defendant continued to collect the debt against Plaintiff and refused to end its pursuit of Plaintiff even though it knew the debts were invalid.
- 29. Defendant's pursuit of the two lawsuits caused Plaintiff great personal distress, frustration, anxiety and sleeplessness. Plaintiff was raising a young family and had no way to afford to pay the large debts a second time.
- 30. Further, Defendant's above-described conduct has caused Plaintiff to suffer the following additional injuries in fact:
  - a. Plaintiff has been deprived of his statutorily created right to truthful information about the debt, specifically that Defendants tried to collect an amount that is inaccurate and overstates any amount that could be due or owing to Defendants; specifically, Plaintiff did not owe any amounts to Defendant;
  - b. Plaintiff was forced to retain and become indebted to counsel for representation in the Lawsuit.
- 31. The injuries in fact are fairly traceable to the challenged actions of the Defendant in that the Defendant filed two suits against Plaintiff for misrepresented debt.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 8 of 18 PageID #: 11

32. Plaintiff's injuries in fact are likely to be redressed by a favorable decision in this Court.

# **COUNT I: VIOLATION OF THE FDCPA**

- 33. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs.
- 34. In its attempts to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff, Defendant has committed violations of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt, specifically by trying to collect a debt that was not due and owing and by using affidavits to collect a debt that contained numerous false statements. 15 U.S.C. §1692e.
  - b. Maintaining two lawsuits against Plaintiff and forcing Plaintiff to spend a significant amount for the defense of the same, when Defendants knew at all times that the suit was baseless. 15 U.S.C. § 1692d,f.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendants for:

- A. Judgment that Defendants' conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages in an amount to be determined by the jury;
- C. Statutory damages, costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15U.S.C. § 1692(k); and
- D. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

# **COUNT II: ABUSE OF PROCESS**

Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 9 of 18 PageID #: 12

35. Defendant made a(n) illegal, improper, and perverted use of process by filing two lawsuits against Plaintiff containing materially false and inflated amounts for the debt.

- 36. Defendant had an improper purpose in filing the lawsuit, namely, to harass and oppress Plaintiff for the sport of it, and to achieve a profitable "double recovery" from Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendant's purpose in filing the lawsuit was to "teach a lesson" to Plaintiff such that Plaintiff would be punished by Defendant's overly aggressive and combative approach to litigation for what Defendant deemed Plaintiff's "irresponsible" behavior in owing a debt in the first place.
- 38. Defendant could not legally sue Plaintiff for nearly \$45,000.00 because Plaintiff did not owe those amounts to Defendant; he owed nothing.
  - 39. Defendant's abusive ends in filing the lawsuit are not authorized by that process.
- 40. Defendant's abuse of process has caused Plaintiff to suffer actual monetary loss in the amount of funds he has actually paid and still owes his attorney in connection with the defense of Defendant's lawsuit, damaged reputation, humiliation, embarrassment, and oppression.
- 41. Defendant's actions were wanton, willful, and made in deliberate disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for:

- A. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- B. Punitive damages in the largest amount allowable by law; and
- C. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 10 of 18 PageID #: 13

### **COUNT III: MALICIOUS PROSECUTION**

- 42. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs.
- 43. Defendant commenced the above-referenced suits against Plaintiff in 2021.
- 44. Defendant lacked probable cause to file the suits in 2021 on the ground that Plaintiff's loans were paid off.
- 45. Defendant, in prosecuting the suits against Plaintiff, was motivated by malice against Plaintiff.
- 46. Both lawsuits against Plaintiff were dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff prevailed; Defendant lost.
- 47. Plaintiff was damaged by Defendant's prosecution in that he suffered great stress, anxiety, worry and incurred financial losses. Further, Plaintiff's credit record is forever tarnished because the suits against Plaintiff are available as a matter of public record, and those suits make numerous false claims about Plaintiff not meeting his financial obligations.
- 48. Defendant acted, at all times relevant, in mean-spirited fashion towards Plaintiff and deliberately and maliciously prosecuted the suit against Plaintiff when it knew with certainty that the suit, and its claims within the suit, completely lacked merit. Defendant recklessly and wantonly abused Plaintiff thereby.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Defendant for:

- A. Actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- B. Punitive damages in the largest amount allowable by law; and
- C. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 11 of 18 PageID #: 14

Respectfully submitted,

# **VOYTAS LAW, LLC**

By: /s/ Richard A. Voytas, Jr. Richard A. Voytas, Jr. #52046 7321 S. Lindbergh Blvd., Ste 101 St. Louis, MO 63125 Phone: (314) 380-3166

Email: rick@voytaslaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff



# IN THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number:	
Plaintiff:  JESSE BARBAN	Defendant: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.	
		(Date File Stamp)

# REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Plaintiff	, pursuant to Local (	Court Rule 4.2.1 and at
his/her/its own risk, requests	the appointment of:	
Mark Effinger	109 Walnut, #785, Festus, MO 6302	8 314-713-1053
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
Name of Process Server	Address	Telephone
a person(s) of lawful age to s	serve the summons and petition in this cause on	the below named parties.
This appointment as special	process server does not include the authorization	n to carry a concealed
weapon in the performance t	hereof.	
SERVE:	SERVE:	
Reg. Agt. C T Corporation	on System	
Name 120 S. Central Avenue	Name	
Address Clayton, MO 63105	Address	
City/State/Zip	City/State/Zip	
Appointed as requested:		
Cheryl Crowder,		
Circuit Clerk		
	<b>T</b>	
By	Date	

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 13 of 18 PageID #: 16

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 14 of 18 PageID #: 17



# IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM		Case Number: 2211-CC00070	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: JESSE LEWIS BARBAN	VS.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR VOYTAS LAW LLC 7312 S LINDBERGH BLVD STE 101 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63125	
Defendant/Respondent: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.		Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit: CC Other Tort		OANT CHARLES, INC 00001	(Date File Star

Nature of Suit:		SAINT CHARLES, MO	63301	I	
CC Other Tort				(Date F	ile Stamp)
	Sui	mmons in Civil C	ase		.,
The State of Missouri to				-	
The Glate of Micocan to	Alias:	5 1 Emo, mo.			
REG AGT C T CORPORATION 120 S. CENTRAL AVE. CLAYTON, MO 63105	N SYSTEM				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summone	d to appear before this o	court and to file your p	leading to th	ne petition, a
SOURT OF THE SOURT	plaintiff/petitioner exclusive of the da	ttached, and to serve a c at the above address all ny of service. If you fail t ou for the relief demand	within 30 days after re o file your pleading, ju	eceiving this	s summons,
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	1/21/2	022	/S/ Cheryl Crowder		
	Date	е	Cleri	<	
	Further Information:	neriff's or Server's Retur			
I certify that I have served delivering a copy of the leaving a copy of the (for service on a corp	d the above Summons by ne summons and petition t summons and petition at t oration) delivering a copy	rned to the court within 30 data (check one) to the defendant/respondent. the dwelling house or usual partition, a person at the summons and petition (name)	lace of abode of the defen least 18 years of age resid to:	ding therein.	
		(name)			
in	(County/Cit	y of St. Louis), MO, on	(dat	e) at	(time).
Printed Nam	e of Sheriff or Server	<del></del>	Signature of She	riff or Server	
	Must be sworn before a ne	otary public if not served by a	n authorized officer:		
(0 1)	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on		(date).	
(Seal)  My commission expires:					
	wy commission expires.	Date	Nota	ry Public	
Sheriff's Fees, if applicat	ole				
Summons	\$				
Non Est	\$				
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	<b>A</b> 40.00				
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ <u>10.00</u>		!I - \		
Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$ per	mile)		
Total	\$	on each defendant/responde	ant Formathada of as = ::-	on all alassas	o of quite
see Supreme Court Rule 5		on <b>each</b> deiendani/responde	ent. For methods of service	on all classes	or suits,



# IN THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number:	
Plaintiff:  JESSE BARBAN	Defendant: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.	
		(Date File Stamp)

# REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Pl	aintiff	, pursuant to Local (	Court Rule 4.2.1 and at
his/her/its own risk	x, requests the appointment	ent of:	
Mark Effinger	109	9 Walnut, #785, Festus, MO 63023	8 314-713-1053
Name of Process Serve	er	Address	Telephone
Name of Process Serve	er	Address	Telephone
a person(s) of lawf	ful age to serve the sumr	mons and petition in this cause on	the below named parties.
This appointment a	as special process server	does not include the authorization	n to carry a concealed
weapon in the perf	formance thereof.		
SERVE:		SERVE:	
Reg. Agt. C T	Corporation System		
Name 120 S. Central	Avenue	Name	
Address Clayton, MO	63105	Address	
City/State/Zip		City/State/Zip	
Appointed as requ	uested:		
Cheryl Crowder,	ALL RISKS TO REQUESTING PARTY		
Circuit Clerk	SO APPOINTED:		
By	/S/ Cheryl Crowder 4:22 pm,	Jan 21, 2022 <b>Date</b>	
_ /			

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 16 of 18 PageID #: 19

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 17 of 18 PageID #: 20

#### AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: 2211- CC00070	Court: 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI	County: ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO	<b>Job:</b> ARS - 22009
Plaintiff / Petitioner: JESSE LEWIS BARBAN		Defendant / Respondent: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.	
Received by: ASSOCIATED RESEARCH SERVICES, LLC		For: VOYTAS LAW, LLC	
To be served	upon: ) SYSTEMS, INC VIA CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS		

I, MARK EFFINGER, being duly swom, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address:

TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC VIA CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS, Company: 120 S Central Ave, Saint Louis, MO

63105

Manner of Service:

Registered Agent, Jan 26, 2022, 2:23 pm CST

Documents:

SUMMONS IN CIVIL CASE & PETITION (Received Jan 24, 2022 at 10:16am CST)

#### Additional Comments:

1) Successful Attempt: Jan 26, 2022, 2:23 pm CST at Company: 120 S Central Ave, Saint Louis, MO 63105 received by TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC VIA CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS.

SERVED TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS VIA CT CORPORATION SYSTEM LOCATED AT 120 S CENTRAL AVE STE 400 CLAYTON, MISSOURI 63105. SERVICE WAS EXECUTED ON BONNIE LOVE (INTAKE SPECIALIST) ON 1-26-2022 AT 2:23 PM.

 Service Fee:
 \$50.00

 Non-EST:
 \$0

 Mileage:
 \$0

 Total:
 \$50.00

MARK EFFINGER Date

ASSOCIATED RESEARCH SERVICES, LLC 109 WALNUT #785

FESTUS, MO 63028 314 713 1053 Signed and sworn to before me, a notary public, on this

atherine Efference

**Notary Public** 

8-29-2022

My Commission Expires

Katherine Effinger
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Jefferson County
My Commission Expires: August 29, 2022
Commission # 18818465

Case: 4:22-cv-00237-NCC Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/25/22 Page: 18 of 18 PageID #: 21



# IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 2211-CC00070
Plaintiff/Petitioner: JESSE LEWIS BARBAN vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RICHARD ANTHONY VOYTAS JR VOYTAS LAW LLC 7312 S LINDBERGH BLVD STE 101 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63125
Defendant/Respondent: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC.	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET
Nature of Suit: CC Other Tort	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301

Date File Stamp)

CLAYTON, MO 63105  COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, and t plaintiff/petitioner at the above a	efore this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a o serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, if you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may ef demanded in the petition.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	1/21/2022	/S/ Cheryl Crowder
	Date	Clerk
	Further Information:	
	Sheriff's or Ser	
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be returned to the court	within 30 days after the date of issue.
I certify that I have served	the above Summons by: (check one)	
delivering a copy of the	summons and petition to the defendant	respondent.
☐ leaving a copy of the s	ummons and petition at the dwelling hous	se or usual place of abode of the defendant/respondent with
11		a person at least 18 years of age residing therein.
(for service on a corpo	ration) delivering a copy of the summons	and petition to:
cher:		
Served at 120	S, CENTRAL AVE	CLAYTON, MO 63/05 (address)
in SI, Louis	County/City of St. Louis), M	10, on 1-26-2022 (date) at 2:23p4 (time).

Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:

**Summons in Civil Case** 

Notary Public Date Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est

Subscribed and sworn to before me on Februar

Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage miles @ \$

My commission expires:

Printed Name of Sheriff or Server

(Seal)

The State of Missouri to: TRANSWORLD SYSTEMS, INC. Alias:

**REG AGT C T CORPORATION SYSTEM** 

Commission # 18818465 My Commission Expires: August 29, 2022 Jefferson County STATE OF MISSOURI

Signature of Sheriff or Server

Notary Public - Notary Seal

A copy of the summons and petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.